## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 MOSCOW 05703 172231Z

70

**ACTION EUR-25** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 NEA-10 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 ACDA-19 IO-14 OIC-04

AEC-11 OMB-01 DRC-01 /161 W ----- 098582

R 171644Z APR 74 FM AMEMBASSY MOSCOW TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7981 INFO AMCONSUL LENINGRAD BY POUCH USMISSION NATO

CONFIDENTIAL MOSCOW 5703

E.O. 11652: GDS TAGS: PFOR, UR, US

SUBJECT: CONVERSATION WITH KHLESTOV ON MBFR

REF: VIENNA 3270

- 1. SUMMARY. DURING MY CALL APRIL 16 ON CHIEF OF SOVIET MBFR DELEGATION, KHLESTOV STRESSED DIFFERENCES BETWEEN TWO SIDES BUT EVALUATED LONG-TERM PROSPECTS AS QUITE POSITIVE. HE SUGGESTED BILATERAL U.S.-USSR TALKS BEFORE CONFERENCE RECONVENES, EITHER IN WASHINGTON OR IN MOSCOW. HE CAME DOWN PARTICULARLY HARD ON NEED FOR COMMITMENT TO REDUCE FRG FORCES, AND HE REPEATED HIS STATEMENT OF APRIL 9 THAT USSR CONSIDERS ANTI-AIRCRAFT DEFENSE TO BE PART OF GROUND FORCES. END SUMMARY.
- 2. I CALLED APRIL 16 ON MFA TREATY AND LEGAL DIVISSION CHIEF AND SOVIET MBFR NEGOTIATOR OLEG KHLESTOV, WITH WHOM I HAD WORKED TEN YEARS AGO IN NEGOTIATING BILATERAL CONSULAR AGREEMENT. ALTHOUGH KHLESTOV IS RESPONSIBLE FOR LOS AND OTHER MATTERS, THE MAIN TOPIC CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 MOSCOW 05703 172231Z

TURNED OUT TO BE MBFR. KHLESTOV VOLUBLY DEFENDED

WARSAW PACT MBFR POSITION AND CRITICISED WESTERN APPROACH. KHLESTOV ARGUED FOR INCLUSION OF AIR FORCE AND NUCLEAR UNITS AND FOR FIRST-PHASE REDUCTION BY ALL PARTIES (HE CALLED ALLIED APPROACH INTERNALLY INCONSISTENT SINCE IT DID NOT INCLUDE "FOREIGN" FORCES OF UK AND CANADA). HE ATTACKED "DISPARITY" OF NUMBERS IN WESTERN POSITION, CHALLENGING WEST TO APPLY ITS PERCENTAGE APPROACH TO FRG/GDR REDUCTIONS. AND HE COMPLAINED THAT SOVIET SIDE WOULD HAVE TO REMOVE ITS EQUIPMENT WHILE U.S. STOCKPILED IT. HE SAID THAT AT START OF TALKS IT WAS USSR WHICH WAS ACCUSED OF NOT WANTING TO REDUCE; NOW, HE SAID,SHOE IS ON THE OTHER FOOT.

- 3. AT SAME TIME KHLESTOV AVOIDED GLOOM IN ASSESSING PROSPECTS FOR PROGRESS. HE NOTED WITH SATISFACTION THAT SIDES HAD AGREED ON NEED TO PURSUE SECURITY AT LOWER FORCE LEVELS AND TO SEEK NO UNILATERAL ADVANTAGE. HE CALLED THE INFORMAL CONSULTATIONS IN VIENNA USEFUL. AND HE CHARACTERIZED TALKS AS A WHOLE AS USEFUL AND SERIOUS, ADDING, "WE ARE CONDEMNED TO SUCCEED."
- 4. AMONG POINTS OF SPECIFIC INTEREST, KHLESTOV
  DISPLAYED NEAR-OBSESSION WITH EXCLUSION OF FRG FROM
  FIRM COMMITMENT TO REDUCE. HE SAID THIS WAS NOT ONLY A
  MILITARY PROBLEM FOR USSR BUT A PSYCHOLOGICAL ONE. HE
  DISMISSED LINKAGE BETWEEN U.S.-SOVIET REDUCTION AND
  AGREEMENT TO DISCUSS NATIONAL REDUCTIONS IN SECOND
  PHASE WITH ASSERTION THAT, WHILE SOVIETS WOULD BE
  COMMITTED TO REDUCE, FRG WOULD JUST BE COMMITTED TO TALK.
  HOWEVER, WHEN I SUGGESTED POSSIBILITY OF SOME SORT OF
  UNDERSTANDING TO PREVENT INCREASES DURING PHASE TWO
  NEGOTIATIONS, KHLESTOV DID NOT RESPOND ONE WAY OR THE
  OTHER.
- 5. KHLESTOV REITEREATED IDEA HE HAD BROACHED IN VIENNA OF A ONE PERCENT SYMBOLIC REDUCTION BUT SAID HE HAD FOUND NO WESTERN SUPPORT FOR IT. HE STRESSED ATMOSPHERIC IMPORTANCE OF "FIRST STEPS" AND SAID EASTERN PROPOSAL FOR REDUCTION BEGINNING NEXT YEAR WAS A CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 MOSCOW 05703 172231Z

FLEXIBLE ONE. BUT HE DID NOT SHOW ANY INCLINATION TOWARD TREATING ALLIED FIRST-PHASE PROPOSAL AS A GOOD WAY TO GET THINGS STARTED. KHLESTOV SHRUGGED OFF MY REFERENCE TO THE PREVIOUS SOVIET IDEA OF EQUAL-PERCENTAGE REDUCTIONS OF U.S. AND SOVIET TROOPS.

6. IN DISCUSSION OF STRUCTURE OF GROUND FORCES KHLESTOV REPEATED HIS STATEMENT (REFTEL) THAT USSR CONSIDERS

ANTI-AIRCRAFT DEFENSES AS PART OF GROUND FORCES EVEN THOUGH OTHER COUNTRIES LIKE FRG DO NOT.

- 7. KHLESTOV RETURNED SEVERAL TIMES IN THE CONVERSATION TO THE IMPORTANCE OF BILATERAL CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN THE U.S. AND THE USSR. HE SAID THAT BEFORE RETURN TO VIENNA, SOVIET SIDE IS READY FOR CONTACTS WITH RESOR, POSSIBLY THROUGH DOBRYNIN, OR FOR TALKS HERE IN MOSCOW.
- 8. KHLESTOV SAID THE SOVIET DELEGATION WOULD RETURN TO VIENNA MAY 9. STOESSEL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: ARMED FORCES, AIR DEFENSE, GROUND FORCES, NEGOTIATIONS, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 17 APR 1974 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED

Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974MOSCOW05703

Document Number: 1974MOSCOW05703 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: GS Errors: N/A

Film Number: D740089-0622

From: MOSCOW Handling Restrictions: n/a Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740436/aaaabgnu.tel Line Count: 127 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EUR Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL

Previous Classification: CONTIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: VIENNA 3270
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 20 MAR 2002

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 MAR 2002 by collinp0>; APPROVED <07 MAY 2002 by golinofr>

**Review Markings:** 

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CONVERSATION WITH KHLESTOV ON MBFR

TAGS: PFOR, UR, US, GE

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005